



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/827,470 A-6288 04/06/2001 Geoffrey G. Hammett 6157 EXAMINER 5642 7590 04/06/2006 SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044 2623

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/827,470	HAMMETT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vivek Srivastava	2617 2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 January 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-26,28-31 and 33-38 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-26, 28-31 and 33-38 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
·· _		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction are considered. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

DETAILED ACTION

As a preliminary note, the previous office action has been incorporated by reference into instant action, and since the claims were not amended and the Examiner's position has not changed, the previous action has not been reiterated below.

Response to Arguments

(1) Applicant argues a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established since the combination of Herz in view of Arai and further in view of Blonstein does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed "wherein the user interface is configured to enable the user to prioritize in advance of time corresponding to the media presentation the presentation order of the media corresponding to the media presentation defined by the user."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner would like to respectfully remind applicants that the rejection of claim 1 was by a combination of references, and that the combination teaches the claimed limitation. Herz discloses an EPG with a plurality of virtual channels (see col 23 lines 50 – 55), noting that each of the channels has presentation order. Blonstein teaches an EPG in which the presentation order of channels can be configured by a user in advance. The Examiner respectfully submits that the breadth of the "presentation order" limitation does not preclude a read that the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/827,470

Art Unit: 2617

presentation of order of programs in lieu of actual program on the channels can be applied to the claims. The Examiner urges Applicant's to amend the claims to more clearly recite the presentation order is for programs on a given channel.

(2) Applicant argues a prioritized list of favorite channels is not the same as a customized list of programming.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees, as addressed in (1) above.

(3) Applicant argues, in regards to claim 26, the combination of Herz in view of Arai and further in view of Blonstein does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed "providing at least one of the plurality of the screen displays for enabling the user to prioritize in advance of a time corresponding to the media presentation the order in which the media of the media presentation is presented to the user."

The Examiner respectfully submits that the combination of Herz, Arai and Blonstein teaches a plurality of screen displays, and that the combination discloses the claimed "prioritized in advance of a time corresponding to the media presentation the order in which the media of the media presentation is presented to the user" as addressed in (1) above. As a result, the Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/827,470

Art Unit: 26元

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Srivastava whose telephone number is (571) 272-7304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272 – 7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vs 4/1/06

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER